# Accelerating fixed point iterations with Newton's method

Conor McCoid May 30th, 2025

McMaster University

### **Fixed point iterations**

The fixed point of a function g(x) is a point  $x^*$  such that

 $g(x^*) = x^*.$ 

These are also described as the intersection between the lines y = g(x) and y = x.



$$x_{n+1} = g(x_n).$$



$$x_{n+1} = g(x_n).$$



$$x_{n+1} = g(x_n).$$



$$x_{n+1} = g(x_n).$$



#### When does a fixed point iteration converge in 1D?

Convergence of the iteration  $x_{n+1} = g(x_n)$  depends on which region (x, g(x)) lies.

- 1: Monotonic divergence
- 2: Monotonic convergence
- 3: Oscillatory convergence
- 4: Oscillatory divergence



Above 1D, fixed points of a vector-valued multivariate function  $\boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{x})$  satisfy

$$\boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{x}^*) = \boldsymbol{x}^*.$$

Fixed point iterations are defined as

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{n+1} = \boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{x}_n).$$

Convergence can be shown with the Banach fixed-point theorem, which in this context requires

$$\|g(x) - g(y)\| \le q \|x - y\|, \quad q \in [0, 1).$$

In the 'regional' framework from 1D, we require

$$\|\boldsymbol{x}^* - \boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{x}_n)\| < \|\boldsymbol{x}^* - \boldsymbol{x}_n\|$$
.

This distinguishes between convergence and divergence, but monotonicity and oscillations are now harder to recognize. Almost every iterative method can be expressed as a fixed point iteration.

For example, consider Gauss-Seidel applied to:

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\boldsymbol{u}_{n+1} = A^{-1} \left( \boldsymbol{a} - B \boldsymbol{v}_n \right), \quad \boldsymbol{v}_{n+1} = D^{-1} \left( \boldsymbol{b} - C \boldsymbol{u}_{n+1} \right)$$
$$\implies \boldsymbol{v}_{n+1} = D^{-1} \left( \boldsymbol{b} - C A^{-1} \left( \boldsymbol{a} - B \boldsymbol{v}_n \right) \right) = \boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{v}_n)$$

We can represent a fixed point iteration as the numerical integration of a function:

$$egin{aligned} & rac{oldsymbol{x}_{n+1}-oldsymbol{x}_n}{\Delta t} = oldsymbol{g}(oldsymbol{x}_n)-oldsymbol{x}_n, & \Delta t = 1, \ & \implies rac{doldsymbol{x}}{dt} = oldsymbol{g}(oldsymbol{x})-oldsymbol{x}. \end{aligned}$$

# Newton's method

Newton's method is used to find a root of a given function f(x):

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)}.$$

This can be viewed as a fixed point iteration:

$$g_f(x) = x - \frac{f(x)}{f'(x)},$$

then a fixed point of  $g_f(x)$  is a root of f(x).

For Newton's method the regions of  $g_f(x)$  depend on the slope of f(x).

Most of the boundaries between the regions are known problems for Newton's method: a slope of zero divides regions 1 and 4, and an infinite slope divides 1 and 2.



The line between regions 3 and 4 can cause cycles in fixed point iterations. For Newton's method, this happens when f(x) is parallel to

$$f_C(x) = C\sqrt{|x - x^*|}$$

for some constant  $C \in \mathbb{R}$ .



We can represent Newton's method as the numerical integration of an ODE:

$$\frac{x_{n+1} - x_n}{\Delta t} = -\frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)}, \quad \Delta t = 1,$$
$$\implies \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \frac{dx}{dt} = -f(x) \implies f(x(t)) = f(x(0))e^{-t}.$$

Newton's method in higher dimensions requires the Jacobian of the function,  $J_f(\boldsymbol{x})$ :

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{n+1} = \boldsymbol{x}_n - J_f^{-1}(\boldsymbol{x}_n)\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_n).$$

The Kantorovich Theorem tells us this method converges as long as the initial guess is sufficiently close to the root (amongst other assumptions). Davidenko (1953) and Branin (1972) suggest an update to Newton's method:

$$\frac{d\boldsymbol{x}}{dt} = \frac{\operatorname{adj} J_f}{|\det J_f|} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}),$$

using some numerical integration scheme (the update is the absolute value around  $\det J_f$ ).

Because  $\det J_f$  only changes sign when passing over a root, this version of Newton's method will always travel in the same direction between roots. This allows the method to go over 'humps' in the function that would cause Newton to diverge otherwise.

## Acceleration

Given a function g(x) with a fixed point  $x^*$ , we can make a function with a root:

$$\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{x}) - \boldsymbol{x}.$$

There are an infinite number of ways to construct such a function, but this is the simplest.

Apply the Newton analysis to the function g(x). The boundaries of divergence are now when the slope of g(x) is infinite, 1, or between 1 and parallel to

$$g_C(x) = C\sqrt{|x - x^*|} + x$$



We can show necessary and sufficient conditions for when accelerating by Newton will guarantee convergence, based on the behaviour of the iterative method.

g(x) lies in	Necessary condition	Sufficient condition
1	g'(x) > 1	
2	g'(x) < 1	g'(x) < 1/2
3	g'(x) < 1/2	g'(x) < 0
4	g'(x) < 0	

- It is sometimes possible to prove the guaranteed monotonic convergence of iterative methods, i.e. Schwarz methods with certain PDEs.
- This means the fixed point for these methods is a contraction mapping, putting it in region 2 in the above framework.

Suppose g(x) lies in region 2. Start with some initial guess  $x_0$ .

- 1. If  $g'(x_n) = 1$ , then accelerating with Newton will cause a division by infinity  $\rightarrow$  use the fixed point iteration
- 2. If  $|g'(x_n)-1|<1/2,$  then using Newton with the Davidenko-Branin trick is guaranteed to be convergent  $\rightarrow$  use Newton
- 3. Let  $\tilde{x}$  be the point halfway between  $x_n$  and the Newton step; if the sign of  $g(\tilde{x}) - \tilde{x}$  is the same as  $g(x_n) - x_n$ , then the fixed point lies between  $\tilde{x}$  and the Newton step  $\rightarrow$  use Newton
- 4. If none of these are true, use the fixed point iteration

- This algorithm relies on the analysis of the boundary between regions 3 and 4. In 1D, that was lines parallel to  $C\sqrt{|x-x^*|}$ .
- In higher dimensions, the boundary is significantly more complicated. It may not be possible to extract necessary and sufficient conditions from this analysis.

If an iterative method is known to be convergent, then its fixed point iteration can help anchor Newton's method.

There are only three points of interest to an augmented Newton:

- the current iterate,  $x_n$ ;
- the fixed point step,  $oldsymbol{g}(oldsymbol{x}_n)$ ;
- the Newton step,  $F(x_n)$  (and possibly the Newton-Davidenko-Branin step).

Thus, we need only consider the 2D plane that contains these points. (The Newton, Newton-Davidenko-Branin, and current iterate all lie on the same line.)

- the current iterate,  $oldsymbol{x}_n$
- the fixed point step,  $oldsymbol{g}(oldsymbol{x}_n)$
- the Newton step, F(x<sub>n</sub>) (and possibly the Newton-Davidenko-Branin step)
- the centre of the red circle is the point in the plane closest to the fixed point  $x^*$



Since the method is convergent,  $g(x_n)$  lies closer to  $x^*$  than  $x_n$ .

In the example here, the Newton-Davidenko-Branin step lies closer to the fixed point step than to the current iterate, suggesting we can accept it.



The fixed point step must have a reasonable step size, unlike Newton which may leap a great distance away. But the Newton direction may be preferable.



Take the fixed point step, then step towards the Newton step, but only part of the way.



- Apply the region-based analysis to the higher dimension fixed point and Newton methods
- Use continuous methods to retrieve the distinction between monotonic and oscillatory behaviour in higher dimensions
- Find a way to compare augmented methods